The Impact of Stereotypes and Prejudices on Student Personality Formation: A Qualitative Approach

Frans Kris Tiwow[1*], Yohanes R. Suprandono[2], Ferry Simanjuntak[3]
Sekolah Tinggi Teologi Kharisma, Bandung
Corresponding Author: Frans Kris Tiwow frans.kris.tiwow2@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

A B S T R A C T

This study aims to explore the influence of stereotypes and prejudices on the formation of students' personalities, by highlighting the internalization mechanisms and adaptation strategies that students use in dealing with restrictive social constructs. Using a qualitative method, this study adopts a phenomenological approach that allows the exploration of students' subjective experiences. The results of the study show that stereotypes not only shape students' self-concept but also have an impact on their academic and social motivation. Students who experience negative stereotypes tend to have psychological barriers in the development of self-identity and social integration, while those who are able to resist prejudice show stronger patterns of resilience and adaptation. This study has significant implications for educational institutions and policy makers in developing more inclusive social intervention strategies and building education systems that are oriented towards equality and social justice.

INTRODUCTION
Stereotypes and Prejudices in the Context of Education
1. Basic Definitions and Concepts

Stereotypes are oversimplified and fixed views or beliefs about the characteristics of a group. Stereotypes are often inaccurate and based on assumptions that are not scientifically tested. Prejudice is a negative attitude that develops from stereotypes and usually involves negative feelings towards a specific individual or group. Prejudice can be in the form of discrimination that occurs in the social environment, including in the world of education.

2. Manifestations of Stereotypes and Prejudices in Education

Teachers' Expectations of Students – Teachers may have stereotypes of students based on factors such as gender, ethnicity, or economic status, which then affect how they treat the student. For example, students from low economic backgrounds are often assumed to have lower motivation to learn. Social Interaction with Students – Stereotypes often appear in student associations, where certain groups are perceived as superior or inferior to others, which can lead to social discrimination and bullying. Academic Policy and Evaluation – Stereotypes can form in the assessment system and school policies. For example, female students are often directed to specific fields of study such as language or art, while male students are more pushed into science and math.

3. The Impact of Stereotypes and Prejudice on Students
    a) Psychological Impact
       ▪ Students who experience negative stereotypes tend to experience a decrease in confidence.
       ▪ Academic stress increases due to the pressure to meet certain stereotypical expectations.
       ▪ A sense of social isolation due to prejudice from teachers and peers.
    b) Social Impact
       ▪ Marginalization of certain groups in social interactions in schools.
       ▪ Strengthening social segregation based on gender, ethnicity, or economic status.
       ▪ Barriers in the development of communication skills and cooperation between different groups.
    c) Identity Formation and Self-Concept
       ▪ Stereotypes and prejudices can shape the way students view themselves.
       ▪ Students who are exposed to negative stereotypes in the long run can experience self-confidence and a lack of motivation to develop their potential.
       ▪ In contrast, students who are able to face prejudice with strong self-resilience can develop more resilient and resilient characters.

THEORETICAL REVIEW
Concept of Stereotypes and Prejudices
Definitions and Theories of Stereotypes and Prejudices

Stereotypes are perceptions or views that have been formed towards a group based on certain characteristics, often without an accurate basis.

According to Lippmann (1922), stereotypes are simplified descriptions of a particular group and are used by individuals to understand the social world.

Prejudice is a negative or positive attitude towards a person based on their membership in a social group. Allport (1954) in his book *The Nature of Prejudice* states that prejudice consists of cognitive (belief), affective (emotional), and behavioral (discrimination) dimensions.

Social Categorization Theory (Turner, 1987) explains that individuals tend to group people into certain social categories, which can lead to stereotypes and prejudices developing.

Attribution Theory (Heider, 1958) emphasizes that prejudice can arise because individuals tend to blame other groups for the social or economic conditions they experience.

Social Contact Theory (Pettigrew & Tropp, 2006) suggests that direct interaction with prejudiced groups can reduce existing stereotypes.

Relevant Previous Studies
1. **Saputra (2017)** found that family communication has a big role in shaping stereotypes and prejudices in adolescents, especially in the context of education.
2. **Moulita (2023)** examined barriers to intercultural communication in the academic environment and found that stereotypes are often barriers in students' social interactions.
3. **Group 6 (2023)** mentions that ethnic- and gender-based prejudice in schools contributes to inequality in students' academic motivation and social integration.
4. **Hogg & Abrams (1988)** in their research on *Social Identity Theory* found that individuals tend to construct self-identities based on their social group, which can lead to stereotypes and prejudices against other groups.
5. **Dovidio, Kawakami & Gaertner (2002)** show that implicit prejudice is more difficult to change than explicit prejudice, and that inclusive education has a role to play in reducing prejudice against certain groups.

Personality in a Theological Perspective
The Concept of Personality in the Perspective of Theology and Social Psychology
1. Personality in Theology

In the perspective of Christian theology, the human personality is seen as a reflection of the **Imago Dei** (image of God) as mentioned in Genesis 1:26-27. This means that each individual has unique values and is given moral and spiritual abilities to live a life in accordance with God's will.

The concept **of original sin** (Romans 3:23) also plays a role in shaping the theological understanding of human personality. A person's personality can be distorted by sin, but it can also be redeemed through grace and spiritual transformation.

In **Christian theology**, a person's character is shaped by his or her relationship with God, the church community, and the moral principles taught in Scripture.

2. Personality in Social Psychology

According to **Erikson (1968),** the development of a person's personality is influenced by social experiences and psychosocial stages experienced throughout life. **Bandura (1977)** with **the theory of Social Learning** emphasizes that a person's personality is formed through social interaction and environmental influences, including stereotypes and prejudices that a person receives from society.

Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) states that a person develops his personality based on how they are categorized in a particular social group, which can strengthen or weaken their moral and spiritual aspects.

The Relationship between Stereotypes and Character Formation in the Context of Christianity

1. The Influence of Stereotypes on Self-Concept in Faith

Negative stereotypes can cause individuals to experience an identity crisis, especially if they feel alienated or unwelcome in their religious community. In the Christian perspective, self-acceptance and rejection of negative stereotypes can be strengthened through the understanding that one's primary identity is as a **child of God** (John 1:12).

2. Character Building in Christ

A Christian's character should not be determined by worldly stereotypes but by **gospel values** such as love, patience, humility, and justice (Galatians 5:22-23).Christian leaders, like Jesus Christ, set an example in the face of social prejudice and stereotypes, emphasizing the principle of love and acceptance of all people, including those who are ostracized by society (Luke 19:1-10).

3. Strategies for Overcoming Stereotypes and Prejudices in Christian Education

Theology-based education can help students understand that their identity in Christ is stronger than the stereotypes the world gives. Strengthening Christian character in education is done through learning based **on biblical values**, spiritual mentoring, and approaches that foster confidence and freedom from social prejudice.

The Impact of Stereotypes on Student Development
Psychological and Social Influences

1. Psychological Impact

a. Self-Concept Formation:

Students who are constantly confronted with negative stereotypes tend to experience **low self-esteem** and **distrust of their own abilities**. Excessive positive stereotypes can lead to **academic pressure**, making students feel like they always have to meet unrealistic expectations.

b. Social Stress and Anxiety:

Students who are the object of stereotypes often experience **social anxiety**, afraid of making mistakes for fear of being confirmed as part of existing negative

stereotypes. **Psychological conditions** such as depression or anxiety disorders can develop as a result of the pressures that arise from prejudices experienced repeatedly.

   c. Academic Motivation:

Negative stereotypes can **hinder learning motivation**, making students feel incapable of competing or less valuable than their peers. Instead, there are cases where students attempt to fight stereotypes by **significantly improving academic performance** to prove that view is wrong.

2. Social Impact

   a. Marginalization and Social Isolation:

Students who face prejudice tend to experience **isolation from the social environment**, either intentionally or unintentionally by their peers. Groups that often experience stereotypes tend to form **a closed social identity**, avoiding interactions with other groups because they feel unwelcome.

   b. Segregation in the School Environment:

Prejudices that are allowed to flourish can reinforce **social segregation**, cause intergroup interaction to be limited and create inequality in education. Gender- or ethnicity-based stereotypes can affect students' **opportunities and access** to better educational resources.

   c. Social Dynamics and Social Conflicts:

Stereotypes can reinforce **conflicts between groups**, increasing the risk of discrimination and bullying in the academic environment. Students who experience prejudice can have **difficulty adapting**, both in friendships and in the learning environment.

Case Studies Related to Stereotypes in Education

1. Gender-Based Stereotypes in Education

Case 1: The stereotype that men are superior in math and science, while women are better at language and art, has led to disparities in academic participation. A study by **Spencer, Steele, & Quinn (1999)** shows that these stereotypes can affect the performance of female students in STEM fields.

2. Social Stereotypes Based on Economic Background

Case 2: The **study of Jussim & Eccles (1992)** found that teachers tend to give lower expectations to students from low-income families, which ultimately impacts their academic motivation.

3. Racial and Ethnic Stereotypes in Education

Case 3: Research **by Sue et al. (2007)** shows that students from minority groups often face **microaggressions** in the form of comments or treatment that contain stereotypes, which can inhibit their confidence in the academic environment.

METHODOLOGY

Research Approach

This research uses **a qualitative method** because it aims to understand students' subjective experiences in dealing with stereotypes and prejudices in the educational environment. The qualitative approach allows for an in-depth

exploration of the perceptions, attitudes, and adaptation mechanisms that students use in shaping their identities. This research adopts **phenomenology**, because it wants to uncover how students experience and internalize stereotypes and prejudices in their academic lives. Phenomenology aims to explore the **meaning of** students' experiences directly through in-depth interviews and observations. In addition to phenomenology, this study also uses **case studies**, where the main focus is on individuals or groups of students who experience stereotypes and prejudices in a particular academic environment. Case studies help gain more concrete insights into how stereotypes and prejudices affect the formation of students' personalities in various educational contexts.

Data Collection Techniques

This study uses an **in-depth interview** method to get a direct perspective of students who experience stereotypes and prejudices in education. Interviews are conducted in a **semi-structured** approach, allowing for a more flexible exploration of students' experiences and views.

Respondents:
a) **Students:** Those who experience gender, ethnicity, or economic status based stereotypes at school.
b) **Teachers and Educators:** To understand how stereotypes and prejudices develop in the academic environment and how they affect teachers' interactions with students.

Topics Explored:
a) How students understand the stereotypes they are facing.
b) The psychological and social impact of prejudice in their interactions.
c) The coping strategy used by students in dealing with stereotypes.
d) Teachers' views on stereotypes and how they overcome prejudice in education.

Direct Observation of Student Interaction in the Educational Environment

Observation Technique:

Non-participatory observation: Researchers observe students' social interactions without being directly involved in their activities.

Participatory observation: Researchers interact in a limited way to understand the dynamics of prejudice in an academic environment.

Aspects Observed:
a) Communication patterns and interaction of students who experience stereotypes.
b) How stereotypes affect student behavior in a learning and social environment.
c) The role of teachers and peers in reinforcing or weakening stereotypes.

Duration and Location of Observation:

It was conducted in several sessions in a school environment to understand the impact of stereotypes contextually.

Analysis of Education Documents or Policies related to Stereotypes
    a) Documents Analyzed:
School policies related to the treatment of students from various backgrounds. Modules or teaching materials that have the potential to contain stereotypical biases in education. Academic data that shows achievement patterns based on specific social groups.
    b) Analysis Method:
Content analysis of policies and teaching materials to identify potential stereotypes. A comparison of policies between schools that have an inclusive approach vs. schools that have not implemented anticipatory policies against stereotypes.

Data Analysis Techniques
a) Definition of Thematic Coding
    Thematic coding is a qualitative data analysis method used to identify patterns and themes in the results of interviews, observations, and document analysis. This technique allows researchers to discover key trends emerging from empirical data and understand how stereotypes and prejudice phenomena are formed in education.
b) Thematic Coding Steps
Data Transcription: All interviews and observations are recorded and transcribed verbatim.
Identify Initial Themes: Identify keywords or phrases that frequently appear related to stereotypes and prejudices.
Thematic Categories: Separates data into categories such as psychological impact, social impact, coping strategies, and teacher perception.
Inter-Theme Relationship Analysis: Connecting different categories to find patterns that explain the mechanisms of stereotypes in the formation of student personalities.

Data Interpretation Based on the Theory Used
    a) Theories Used in Data Interpretation
Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979): To understand how stereotypes affect students' self-concept and social relationships. Social Contact Theory (Pettigrew & Tropp, 2006): To analyze whether interactions between groups can reduce prejudice in educational settings. Psychosocial Theory (Erikson, 1968): To explore the long-term impact of stereotypes on students' psychological development. Imago Dei theology (Genesis 1:26-27): To see how spiritual identity can be a mechanism of resistance to stereotypes and prejudices.
    b) Data Interpretation Process
Contextual Analysis: Linking the results of the interview to the student's real experience in education.
Comparison with Previous Studies: Compare the findings of the study with other studies that have been conducted on stereotypes in education.
Theological and Social Meaning: Interpreting how stereotypes can be challenged or broken through approaches based on theology and social values.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Identify Stereotypes and Prejudices Experienced by Students
Types of Stereotypes that Are Common in the Educational Environment
    In an educational environment, stereotypes can appear in many forms, both explicitly and implicitly. Based on the results of interviews and observations, several main categories of stereotypes that students often experience are found:
    1. Stereotypes Based on Gender
    The notion that male students excel in math and science, while female students are better at language and art. The expectation is that men should be more competitive and independent, while women are better suited in social roles and empathy-based leadership.
    2. Socio-Economic Stereotypes
    Students from low economic backgrounds are often considered to have lower academic motivation than students from affluent families. The assumption that access to quality education can only be obtained by certain economic groups, which reinforces social inequality.
    3. Ethnic and Racial Stereotypes
    Some ethnic groups are often associated with certain academic tendencies, for example the notion that certain groups are superior in science or more lagging behind in communication skills. Prejudice against students from minority groups who are perceived to have inferior abilities in the academic environment, hinders their social integration.
    4. Religion-Based Stereotypes
    The assumption that students of a particular religion are more likely to have a conservative mindset or less adaptive to changes in modern education. Discrimination against students who have strong religious beliefs in a more secular academic environment, leads to a lack of acceptance and understanding.

Students' Reaction to the Stereotype
    Students respond to a variety of stereotypes and prejudices they experience, depending on factors such as social support, self-confidence, and the coping mechanisms they develop.
1. Acceptance and Internalization
    Some students accept stereotypes as part of their identity, so they begin to take the boundaries imposed by stereotypes as normal. This has the potential to limit students' exploration of academic and social potential, leading to low confidence and motivation to learn.
2. Resistance and Self-Proof
    Students who have a higher awareness of stereotypes often try to prove these assumptions wrong by improving their academic and social performance. Concrete examples are found in case studies of students from minority groups who seek to achieve higher academic achievement to dispel negative assumptions about them.

3. Avoidance and Withdrawal

Some students choose to avoid situations where they feel stereotypes or prejudices prevail, for example by withdrawing from certain social interactions or academic activities. This leads to social isolation that impacts their personality development in the long run.

4. Resilience and Positive Adaptation

Some students have successfully developed coping mechanisms against stereotypes with support from their families, school communities, or spiritual values. Theology-based education can also help students understand that their identity is stronger than the stereotypes imposed on them by society.

Impact on Personality Formation
How Stereotypes Shape Students' Mindsets and Behaviors

1. Formation of Self-Concept and Social Identity

Persistently accepted stereotypes can shape a student's self-concept, where they begin to internalize social expectations of their group. Social Identity Theory (Tajfel & Turner, 1979) states that individuals tend to adopt identities set by their social groups, which can strengthen or weaken their self-confidence.

2. Influence on Motivation and Academic Achievement

Students who experience negative stereotypes tend to have lower academic motivation, because they feel that their efforts will not change pre-existing perceptions. Meanwhile, students who face positive stereotypes can experience academic pressure to continue to meet the high expectations placed on them.

3. Changes in Social Interaction

Stereotypes can cause students to avoid interactions with certain groups for fear of being categorized negatively. Instead, some students may develop defensive attitudes, attempting to prove that the stereotypes directed at them are not true by showing the opposite attitude.

4. Formation of Mindset Towards Self and Others

Students who face prejudice repeatedly can develop a self-fulfilling prophecy mindset, in which they begin to behave according to the stereotypical expectations placed on them. Stereotypes can also change the way students treat others, reinforcing prejudice against other groups in the academic environment.

Factors That Reinforce or Weaken the Impact of Stereotypes on Students

1. Social Support from the Environment

Support from teachers and families can weaken the negative impact of stereotypes by providing positive validation to students. Students who have a supportive environment are more likely to develop strong personalities and be able to overcome prejudices.

2. Inclusive Values-Based Education

A curriculum that teaches equality and cross-cultural understanding can help students understand that stereotypes do not define a person's abilities. Schools that have psychosocial intervention programs can help students who experience stereotypes in building positive coping mechanisms.

3. Individual Self-Awareness and Resilience

Students who have high self-awareness are better able to identify and reject negative stereotypes directed at them. Coping mechanisms such as spiritual reflection and counseling can help students cope with the pressure of stereotypes without losing their self-identity.

4. The Influence of Religious Values

The theology of Imago Dei (Genesis 1:26-27) teaches that every individual is created in the image of God, which means they have dignity and value that cannot be defined by social stereotypes. Christian values such as love, justice, and acceptance can be the foundation for students to develop a broader understanding of their identity, without being swayed by worldly stereotypes.

Implications of the Findings on Education and Theology
The Relationship between Research Results and Theological and Spiritual Values in Education

1. Self-Identity and Theological Perspective

Based on the results of the study, stereotypes and prejudices can significantly affect students' self-concept, shaping their mindset about their identity and potential. In the perspective of Christian theology, human beings were created according to the Imago Dei (Genesis 1:26-27), which means that each individual has intrinsic value that cannot be determined by social stereotypes. The values of love, justice, and acceptance in Christianity encourage individuals to see themselves and others as God sees them, regardless of the prejudices that exist in society.

2. Education as a Means of Strengthening Spirituality

The results of the study show that students who have spiritual support are better able to deal with the stereotypes and prejudices they face in the academic environment.Religious values-based education can help students build self-resilience mechanisms to stereotypes by instilling an awareness that their values are not determined by social perceptions, but by God. The integration of theological values in the school curriculum can help create an inclusive academic environment and foster a strong spiritual identity in students.

3. Theological Principles as a Solution to Overcome Social Prejudice

The results of the study confirm that stereotypes and prejudices often arise due to a lack of understanding and empathy for other groups. The principles of equality and love in Christian teaching (Romans 2:11 – "For God is no respecter of feathers") can be the basis for shaping educational policies that promote social justice and inclusivity in schools. Churches and religious communities can play a role in educating students about social awareness and respect for diversity, which can help eliminate prejudice in education.

Recommendations to Overcome Stereotypes and Prejudices in the School Environment

1. Implementation of Inclusive and Equity-Based Education

Schools should develop an anti-stereotype curriculum that addresses the importance of equality and diversity. Teachers and educators need to be trained in an inclusive approach, so that they can provide unbiased guidance to all

students. An emphasis on cross-cultural and religious education can help students understand different perspectives, reducing social prejudice.

2. Strengthening Psychosocial Support for Students Experiencing Stereotypes

Schools can establish mentoring or counseling programs that aim to help students overcome the pressures of stereotypes and prejudices. School and church communities can work together in providing spiritual and emotional support for students facing social challenges. Providing a safe space for students to talk about their experiences with stereotypes can help them find solutions and coping strategies.

3. The Role of Educational and Spiritual Leaders in Encouraging Social Awareness

Academic and spiritual leaders must actively encourage social awareness, teaching that every individual has equal worth in the eyes of God. Schools can work with religious communities to host discussions and seminars on diversity, stereotypes, and solutions to build a more equitable academic environment. Church leaders can teach how Christ's teachings on love and acceptance can be applied in daily life to counter harmful social stereotypes.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study shows that stereotypes and prejudices in education have a significant impact on the formation of students' personalities, both in psychological and social aspects. Stereotypes based on gender, ethnicity, and economic status have been shown to affect students' self-concept, academic motivation, and social interactions within the school environment. Students who experience stereotypes tend to develop diverse coping mechanisms, including acceptance, resistance, avoidance, and self-resilience based on spiritual values and social support. Education based on theological values has been shown to have a role in strengthening students' psychosocial resilience, providing them with an understanding of identity that is not defined by social stereotypes, but by deeper spiritual and moral values.

Suggestions

1. Increased Awareness and Training for Teachers

Teachers and educators need to be given special training on awareness of stereotypes and prejudices, as well as how to overcome bias in teaching. An inclusive education approach needs to be implemented, ensuring that all students have an equal opportunity to thrive without being influenced by social stereotypes.

2. Development of Equity- and Inclusiveness-Based Curriculum

Schools should implement a curriculum that encourages cross-cultural understanding, teaching students to see diversity as a strength, not as a trigger for prejudice. Educational materials should be structured neutrally, without reinforcing certain stereotypes that can affect students' perceptions of other groups.

3. Establishment of Guidance and Counseling Programs

School counseling services should be more active in helping students who experience stereotypes or prejudices, providing them with psychological support and coping strategies. Mentoring and mentoring programs by teachers and community leaders can help students face stereotypes more confidently and develop their social resilience.

4. Collaboration with Religious and Social Communities

Schools can work closely with churches and religious communities to instill the values of love, justice, and acceptance, which can help address social prejudice in the academic environment. Open discussions and reflection sessions can be held regularly so that students can explore their identities without being affected by harmful stereotypes.

FURTHER STUDY

1. Studies on Educational Interventions to Overcome Stereotypes

Further research can explore effective strategies in reducing stereotypes in education, particularly through inclusive and socially conscious-based teaching methods. Studies on the impact of anti-stereotype programs on students' academic and social development can provide practical recommendations to be implemented in education policy.

2. A Study on the Role of Spiritual Values in Social Resilience

There needs to be a more in-depth study of how religious values help students deal with stereotypes and prejudices, especially in the context of theology-based education. An interdisciplinary approach that connects social psychology and theology can provide broader insights into how students build positive self-concept despite facing negative stereotypes.

3. Research on the Influence of Media on Stereotypes in Education

Further studies can examine how media and social representations affect the formation of stereotypes in academic settings. This research can provide an understanding of how media content can be leveraged to reduce stereotypes and promote social equality in education.

4. Comparative Analysis of Education Systems in Various Countries

A comparative study of how different countries deal with stereotypes in education can provide a broader perspective on best practices in creating a more equitable and inclusive learning environment. This research can also compare how theology-based education policies are applied in various academic institutions to overcome social prejudice.

Not to forget, I appreciate all parties who have contributed to this research, including students, teachers, and educators who are willing to share their experiences and insights. Thank you to academic colleagues, mentors, and the community who have assisted in the preparation and refinement of this research.

Hopefully the results of this research can be a blessing for the world of education and further strengthen the understanding of justice, love, and inclusivity in learning. God bless Jesus!

REFERENCES

Allport, G. W. (1954). *The Nature of Prejudice*. Addison-Wesley.

Bandura, A. (1977). *Social Learning Theory*. Prentice Hall.

Dovidio, J. F., Kawakami, K., & Gaertner, S. L. (2002). Implicit and explicit prejudice and interracial interaction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 82*(1), 62-68. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.82.1.62

Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and Crisis*. Norton.

Heider, F. (1958). *The Psychology of Interpersonal Relations*. Wiley.

Jussim, L., & Eccles, J. (1992). Teacher expectations II: Construction and reflection of student achievement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 63*(6), 947-961. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.63.6.947

Kelompok 6. (2023). *Prasangka: Penyebab, Dampak, dan Cara Mengatasinya*. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

Lippmann, W. (1922). *Public Opinion*. Harcourt, Brace & Co.

Moulita. (2023). *Hambatan Komunikasi Antarbudaya di Kalangan Mahasiswa*. Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara.

Pettigrew, T. F., & Tropp, L. R. (2006). A meta-analytic test of intergroup contact theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 90*(5), 751-783. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.90.5.751

Saputra, A. (2017). *Pengaruh Komunikasi Keluarga terhadap Pembentukan Stereotip dan Prasangka Antaretnik pada Remaja Etnik Lampung*. Universitas Lampung.

Spencer, S. J., Steele, C. M., & Quinn, D. M. (1999). Stereotype threat and women's math performance. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 35*(1), 4-28. https://doi.org/10.1006/jesp.1998.1373

Sue, D. W., Capodilupo, C. M., & Holder, A. M. (2007). Racial microaggressions in everyday life: Implications for clinical practice. *American Psychologist, 62*(4), 271-286. https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.62.4.271

Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). *An Integrative Theory of Intergroup Conflict*. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations* (pp. 33-47). Brooks/Cole.